Use of Force

300.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE
This policy provides guidelines on the reasonable use of force. While there is no way to specify the exact amount or type of reasonable force to be applied in any situation, every member of this department is expected to use these guidelines to make such decisions in a professional, impartial, and reasonable manner.

In addition to those methods, techniques, and tools set forth below, the guidelines for the reasonable application of force contained in this policy shall apply to all policies addressing the potential use of force, including but not limited to the Control Devices and Techniques and Conducted Energy Device policies.

300.1.1 DEFINITIONS
Definitions related to this policy include:

**Deadly force** - Force reasonably anticipated and intended to create a substantial likelihood of causing death or very serious injury.

**Feasible** - Reasonably capable of being done or carried out under the circumstances to successfully achieve the arrest or lawful objective without increasing risk to the officer or another person.

**Force** - The application of physical techniques or tactics, chemical agents or weapons to another person. It is not a use of force when a person allows him/herself to be searched, escorted, handcuffed, or restrained.

**Imminent** - Ready to take place; impending. Note that imminent does not mean immediate or instantaneous.

**Serious bodily injury** - An injury that presents a substantial risk of death, a substantial risk of serious permanent disfigurement, a substantial risk of protracted loss or impairment of the function of any part or organ of the body, or breaks, fractures, or burns of the second or third degree.

**Totality of the circumstances** - All facts and circumstances known to the officer at the time, taken as a whole, including the conduct of the officer and the subject leading up to the use of force.

300.2 POLICY
The use of force by law enforcement personnel is a matter of critical concern, both to the public and to the law enforcement community. Officers are involved on a daily basis in numerous and varied interactions and, when warranted, may use reasonable force in carrying out their duties.

Officers must have an understanding of, and true appreciation for, their authority and limitations. This is especially true with respect to overcoming resistance while engaged in the performance of law enforcement duties.
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The Auraria Campus Police Department recognizes and respects the value of all human life and dignity without prejudice to anyone. Vesting officers with the authority to use reasonable force and to protect the public welfare requires monitoring, evaluation, and a careful balancing of all interests.

300.2.1 DUTY TO INTERCEDE AND REPORT
Any officer present and observing another law enforcement officer or a member using force that is clearly beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances shall, when in a position to do so, intercede to prevent the use of unreasonable force.

Any officer who observes another law enforcement officer or a member use force that is potentially beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances should report these observations to a supervisor, as soon as feasible.

300.2.2 ADDITIONAL INTERVENTION AND REPORTING
An officer shall also intervene to prevent or stop another peace officer from using physical force that exceeds the degree of force permitted by CRS § 18-1-707.

An on-duty officer who witnesses another peace officer using force in excess of that permitted by CRS § 18-1-707 shall report such use of force in writing to the officer’s immediate supervisor. Such report shall be within 10 days of the occurrence and include the date, time, and place of the occurrence; the identities, if known, and description of the participants; a description of the events and the force used; and must be included with all other reports of the incident (CRS § 18-8-802).

An officer shall intervene to prevent or stop another peace officer from using or directing the use of ketamine to effect an arrest, detention, restraint, transport, or punishment; to prevent the escape from custody; or to facilitate ease and convenience in the law enforcement encounter and report the intervention as required by CRS § 18-8-805 and 4 CCR 901-1:17.

300.2.3 PERSPECTIVE
When observing or reporting force used by a law enforcement officer, each officer should take into account the totality of the circumstances and the possibility that other law enforcement officers may have additional information regarding the threat posed by the subject.

300.3 USE OF FORCE SB 20-217
(1) PEACE OFFICERS, IN CARRYING OUT THEIR DUTIES, SHALL APPLY NONVIOLENT MEANS, WHEN POSSIBLE, BEFORE RESORTING TO THE USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE. A PEACE OFFICER MAY USE PHYSICAL FORCE ONLY IF NONVIOLENT MEANS WOULD BE INEFFECTIVE IN EFFECTING AN ARREST, PREVENTING AN ESCAPE, OR PREVENTING AN IMMINENT THREAT OF SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH TO THE PEACE OFFICER OR ANOTHER PERSON.

(2) WHEN PHYSICAL FORCE IS USED, A PEACE OFFICER SHALL:

(a) NOT USE DEADLY PHYSICAL FORCE TO APPREHEND A PERSON WHO IS SUSPECTED OF ONLY A MINOR OR NONVIOLENT OFFENSE:
(b) USE ONLY A DEGREE OF FORCE CONSISTENT WITH THE MINIMIZATION OF INJURY TO OTHERS:

(c) ENSURE THAT ASSISTANCE AND MEDICAL AID ARE RENDERED TO ANY INJURED OR AFFECTED PERSONS AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE: AND

(d) ENSURE THAT ANY IDENTIFIED RELATIVES OR NEXT OF KIN OF PERSONS WHO HAVE SUSTAINED SERIOUS BODILY INJURY OR DEATH ARE NOTIFIED AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

(2.5) (a) A PEACE OFFICER IS PROHIBITED FROM USING A CHOKEHOLD UPON ANOTHER PERSON.

(b) (I) FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION (2.5), “CHOKEHOLD” MEANS A METHOD BY WHICH A PERSON APPLIES SUFFICIENT PRESSURE TO A PERSON TO MAKE BREATHING DIFFICULT OR IMPOSSIBLE AND INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO ANY PRESSURE TO THE NECK, THROAT, OR WINDPIPE THAT MAY PREVENT OR HINDER BREATHING OR REDUCE INTAKE OF AIR.

(II) "CHOKEHOLD" ALSO MEANS APPLYING PRESSURE TO A PERSON'S NECK ON EITHER SIDE OF THE WINDPIPE, BUT NOT TO THE WINDPIPE ITSELF, TO STOP THE FLOW OF BLOOD TO THE BRAIN VIA THE CAROTID ARTERIES.

(3) A PEACE OFFICER IS JUSTIFIED IN USING DEADLY PHYSICAL FORCE TO MAKE AN ARREST ONLY WHEN ALL OTHER MEANS OF APPREHENSION ARE UNREASONABLE GIVEN THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND:

(a) THE ARREST IS FOR A FELONY INVOLVING CONDUCT INCLUDING THE USE OR THREATENED USE OF DEADLY PHYSICAL FORCE:

(b) THE SUSPECT POSES AN IMMEDIATE THREAT TO THE PEACE OFFICER OR ANOTHER PERSON;

(c) THE FORCE EMPLOYED DOES NOT CREATE A SUBSTANTIAL RISK OF INJURY TO OTHER PERSONS.

4) A PEACE OFFICER SHALL IDENTIFY HIMSELF OR HERSELF AS A PEACE OFFICER AND GIVE A CLEAR VERBAL WARNING OF HIS OR HER INTENT TO USE FIREARMS OR OTHER DEADLY PHYSICAL FORCE, WITH SUFFICIENT TIME FOR THE WARNING TO BE OBSERVED, UNLESS TO DO SO WOULD UNDULY PLACE PEACE OFFICERS AT RISK OF INJURY, WOULD CREATE A RISK OF DEATH OR INJURY TO OTHER PERSONS.

(4.5) NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION IN THIS SECTION, A PEACE OFFICER IS JUSTIFIED IN USING DEADLY FORCE IF THE PEACE OFFICER HAS AN OBJECTIVELY REASONABLE BELIEF THAT A LESSER DEGREE OF FORCE IS INADEQUATE AND THE PEACE OFFICER HAS OBJECTIVELY REASONABLE GROUNDS TO BELIEVE, AND DOES BELIEVE, THAT HE OR ANOTHER PERSON IS IN IMMINENT DANGER OF BEING KILLED OR OF RECEIVING SERIOUS BODILY INJURY.
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300.4.1 USE OF FORCE TO EFFECT AN ARREST
An officer should apply nonviolent means, when possible, and may use physical force only if nonviolent means would be ineffective to effect an arrest, prevent an escape, or prevent an imminent threat of injury to officers or others (CRS § 18-1-707).

Officers should only use that degree of force consistent with the minimization of injury to others (CRS § 18-1-707).

Officers shall not apply force in excess of the force permitted by CRS § 18-1-707 to a person who has been rendered incapable of resisting arrest (CRS § 18-8-803).

300.4.2 FACTORS USED TO DETERMINE THE REASONABLENESS OF FORCE
When determining whether to apply force and evaluating whether an officer has used reasonable force, a number of factors should be taken into consideration, as time and circumstances permit. These factors include but are not limited to:

(a) Immediacy and severity of the threat to officers or others.
(b) The conduct of the individual being confronted, as reasonably perceived by the officer at the time.
(c) Officer/subject factors (e.g., age, size, relative strength, skill level, injuries sustained, level of exhaustion or fatigue, the number of officers available vs. subjects).
(d) The effects of suspected drug or alcohol use.
(e) The individual's mental state or capacity.
(f) The individual’s ability to understand and comply with officer commands.
(g) Proximity of weapons or dangerous improvised devices.
(h) The degree to which the individual has been effectively restrained and his/her ability to resist despite being restrained.
(i) The availability of other reasonable and feasible options and their possible effectiveness.
(j) SERIOUSNESS OF THE SUSPECTED OFFENSE OR REASON FOR CONTACT WITH THE INDIVIDUAL.
(k) Training and experience of the officer.
(l) Potential for injury to officers, suspects and others.
(m) Whether the individual appears to be resisting, attempting to evade arrest by flight, or is attacking the officer.
(n) The risk and reasonably foreseeable consequences of escape.
(o) The apparent need for immediate control of the individual or a prompt resolution of the situation.
(p) Whether the conduct of the individual being confronted no longer reasonably appears to pose an imminent threat to the officer or others.
(q) Prior contacts with the individual or awareness of any propensity for violence.
(r) Any other exigent circumstances.

300.4.3 PAIN COMPLIANCE TECHNIQUES
Pain compliance techniques may be effective in controlling a physically or actively resisting individual. Officers may only apply those pain compliance techniques for which they have successfully completed department-approved training. Officers utilizing any pain compliance technique should consider:

(a) The degree to which the application of the technique may be controlled given the level of resistance.
(b) Whether the individual can comply with the direction or orders of the officer.
(c) Whether the individual has been given sufficient opportunity to comply.

The application of any pain compliance technique shall be discontinued once the officer determines that compliance has been achieved.

300.4.4 USE OF FORCE TO SEIZE EVIDENCE
In general, officers may use reasonable force to lawfully seize evidence and to prevent the destruction of evidence. However, officers are discouraged from using force solely to prevent a person from swallowing evidence or contraband. In the instance when force is used, officers should not intentionally use any technique that restricts blood flow to the head, restricts respiration or which creates a reasonable likelihood that blood flow to the head or respiration would be restricted. Officers are encouraged to use techniques and methods taught by the Auraria Campus Police Department for this specific purpose.

300.4.5 ALTERNATIVE TACTICS - DE-ESCALATION
When circumstances reasonably permit, officers should use non-violent strategies and techniques to decrease the intensity of a situation, improve decision-making, improve communication, reduce the need for force, and increase voluntary compliance (e.g., summoning additional resources, formulating a plan, attempting verbal persuasion).

300.4.6 PROHIBITION ON CHOKEHOLDS
An officer is prohibited from using a chokehold upon another person. A chokehold is defined as a method by which a person applies sufficient pressure to a person to make breathing difficult or
impossible. It also includes pressure to stop the flow of blood to the brain via the carotid arteries (CRS § 18-1-707).

300.5 DEADLY FORCE APPLICATIONS
When reasonable, the officer shall, prior to the use of deadly force, make efforts to identify him/herself as a peace officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the person is aware of those facts or that doing so would unduly place officers or other persons at risk of injury or death (CRS § 18-1-707).

Use of deadly force is justified in the following circumstances involving imminent threat or imminent risk:

(a) An officer may use deadly force to protect him/herself or others from what he/she reasonably believes is an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury.

(b) An officer may use deadly force to stop a fleeing subject when the officer has probable cause to believe that the individual has committed, or intends to commit, a felony involving the infliction or threatened infliction of serious bodily injury or death, and the officer reasonably believes that there is an imminent risk of serious bodily injury or death to any other person if the individual is not immediately apprehended. Under such circumstances, a verbal warning should precede the use of deadly force, where feasible.

Imminent does not mean immediate or instantaneous. An imminent danger may exist even if the suspect is not at that very moment pointing a weapon at someone. For example, an imminent danger may exist if an officer reasonably believes that the individual has a weapon or is attempting to access one and intends to use it against the officer or another person. An imminent danger may also exist if the individual is capable of causing serious bodily injury or death without a weapon, and the officer believes the individual intends to do so.

300.5.1 MOVING VEHICLES
Shots fired at or from a moving vehicle involve additional considerations and risks, and are rarely effective.

When feasible, officers should take reasonable steps to move out of the path of an approaching vehicle instead of discharging their firearm at the vehicle or any of its occupants. An officer should only discharge a firearm at a moving vehicle or its occupants when the officer reasonably believes there are no other reasonable means available to avert the imminent threat of the vehicle, or if deadly force other than the vehicle is directed at the officer or others.

Officers should not shoot at any part of a vehicle in an attempt to disable the vehicle.

300.6 REPORTING THE USE OF FORCE
Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented promptly, completely, and accurately in an appropriate report, depending on the nature of the incident. The officer should articulate the factors perceived and why he/she believed the use of force was reasonable under the circumstances. To collect data for purposes of training, resource allocation, analysis
and related purposes, the Department may require the completion of additional report forms, as specified in department policy, procedure, or law. See the Report Preparation Policy for additional circumstances that may require documentation.

300.6.1 NOTIFICATIONS TO SUPERVISORS
Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as practicable following the application of force in any of the following circumstances:

(a) The application caused a visible injury.
(b) The application would lead a reasonable officer to conclude that the individual may have experienced more than momentary discomfort.
(c) The individual subjected to the force complained of injury or continuing pain.
(d) The individual indicates intent to pursue litigation.
(e) Any application of the TASER® Device or control device.
(f) Any application of a restraint device other than handcuffs, shackles, or belly chains.
(g) The individual subjected to the force was rendered unconscious.
(h) An individual was struck or kicked.
(i) An individual alleges unreasonable force was used or that any of the above has occurred.

300.6.2 REPORTING TO COLORADO DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
Statistical data regarding all qualifying incidents shall be reported to the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice as required by CRS § 24-31-903 (see the Records Section Procedures Policy). For the purposes of this section, a qualifying incident means any (CRS § 24-31-903):

(a) Incident involving the use of force by an officer that results in death or serious bodily injury.
(b) Incident involving the use of force by an officer that involved the use of a weapon.
(c) Contact with the public conducted by officers, including entries into a residence.
(d) Instance of unannounced entry into a residence.

300.7 MEDICAL CONSIDERATIONS
Once it is reasonably safe to do so, medical assistance shall be obtained for any person who exhibits signs of physical distress, has sustained visible injury, expresses a complaint of injury or continuing pain, or was rendered unconscious. Any individual exhibiting signs of physical distress after an encounter should be continuously monitored until he/she can be medically assessed. Individuals should not be placed on their stomachs for an extended period, as this could impair their ability to breathe.

Based upon the officer’s initial assessment of the nature and extent of the individual’s injuries, medical assistance may consist of examination by an emergency medical services provider or medical personnel at a hospital or jail. If any such individual refuses medical attention, such
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A refusal shall be fully documented in related reports and, whenever practicable, should be witnessed by another officer and/or medical personnel. If a recording is made of the contact or an interview with the individual, any refusal should be included in the recording, if possible.

The on-scene supervisor or, if the on-scene supervisor is not available, the primary handling officer shall ensure that any person providing medical care or receiving custody of a person following any use of force is informed that the person was subjected to force. This notification shall include a description of the force used and any other circumstances the officer reasonably believes would be potential safety or medical risks to the subject (e.g., prolonged struggle, extreme agitation, impaired respiration).

Individuals who exhibit extreme agitation, violent irrational behavior accompanied by profuse sweating, extraordinary strength beyond their physical characteristics, and imperviousness to pain (sometimes called “excited delirium”), or who require a protracted physical encounter with multiple officers to be brought under control, may be at an increased risk of sudden death. Calls involving these persons should be considered medical emergencies. Officers who reasonably suspect a medical emergency should request medical assistance as soon as practicable and have medical personnel stage away.

See the Medical Aid and Response Policy for additional guidelines.

300.8 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

A supervisor should respond to a reported application of force resulting in visible injury, if reasonably available. When a supervisor is able to respond to an incident in which there has been a reported application of force, the supervisor is expected to:

(a) Obtain the basic facts from the involved officers. Absent an allegation of misconduct or excessive force, this will be considered a routine contact in the normal course of duties.

(b) Ensure that any injured parties are examined and treated.

(c) When possible, separately obtain a recorded interview with the individual upon whom force was applied. If this interview is conducted without the individual having voluntarily waived his/her Miranda rights, the following shall apply:

1. The content of the interview should not be summarized or included in any related criminal charges.

2. The fact that a recorded interview was conducted should be documented in a property or other report.

3. The recording of the interview should be distinctly marked for retention until all potential for civil litigation has expired.

(d) Once any initial medical assessment has been completed or first aid has been rendered, ensure that photographs have been taken of any areas involving visible injury or complaint of pain, as well as overall photographs of uninjured areas.
1. These photographs should be retained until all potential for civil litigation has expired.

(e) Identify any witnesses not already included in related reports.

(f) Review and approve all related reports.

(g) Determine if there is any indication that the individual may pursue civil litigation.

1. If there is an indication of potential civil litigation, the supervisor should complete and route a notification of a potential claim through the appropriate channels.

(h) Evaluate the circumstances surrounding the incident and initiate an administrative investigation if there is a question of policy noncompliance or if for any reason further investigation may be appropriate.

In the event that a supervisor is unable to respond to the scene of an incident involving the reported application of force, the supervisor is still expected to complete as many of the above items as circumstances permit.

300.8.1 WATCH COMMANDER RESPONSIBILITY
The Watch Commander shall review each use of force by any personnel within his/her command to ensure compliance with this policy and to address any training issues.

300.9 TRAINING
Officers will receive periodic training on this policy and demonstrate their knowledge and understanding.

Subject to available resources, officers should receive periodic training on:

(a) Guidelines regarding vulnerable populations, including but not limited to children, elderly, pregnant persons, and individuals with physical, mental, or intellectual disabilities.

(b) De-escalation tactics, including alternatives to force.

300.10 USE OF FORCE ANALYSIS
At least annually, the Patrol Division Commander should prepare an analysis report on use of force incidents. The report should be submitted to the Chief of Police. The report should not contain the names of officers, suspects or case numbers, and should include:

(a) The identification of any trends in the use of force by members.

(b) Training needs recommendations.

(c) Equipment needs recommendations.

(d) Policy revision recommendations.